

ANNEX 3.2

Proposed New 'Appendix B' to the SRA Enforcement Strategy Sanctions and Controls for authorised CILEX lawyers

Introduction

The table below sets out the powers available to us when we take enforcement action against an authorised CILEX lawyer for a breach of the SRA's regulatory arrangements or any other regulatory requirement that we are responsible for enforcing.

These include both sanctions and controls. The former are broadly intended to discipline the person to prevent similar behaviour by them or others, maintain standards and uphold public confidence in the legal profession. The latter are broadly intended to protect clients or the public by controlling or limiting the risk of harm.

Although not covered in the table, our powers include interim or immediate protective measures taken before a finding of breach and pending the final determination of a matter. For example, we can take immediate action to suspend an authorised CILEX lawyer's rights to practise and can also impose conditions on an interim basis where these are necessary and proportionate to address an identified risk pending a final outcome in the case.

The powers set out in the table below can in some cases effectively act as both a sanction and a control (for example, a decision to restrict an authorised CILEX lawyer from employment in a law firm without permission from the SRA, impose conditions on their practising arrangements or suspend their practising certificate). And they can be used in combination, where appropriate.

The factors set out in the table indicate some of the features which may lead us towards or away from imposing a particular sanction or control in any given circumstance. They do not comprise an exhaustive list and not all of the factors set out need to be present for us to consider that the relevant sanction or control is appropriate.

Undertaking by authorised CILEX lawyer

Purpose	Factors in favour	Factors against
To control the risk of harm arising from repetition of breach of the SRA CILEX requirements: • the issue does not require any other sanction or control in order to maintain standards/uphold public confidence • the individual shows insight and willingness	 It is accepted that misconduct took place. Restrictions available or steps can be taken which address the risk of repetition/harm, and which are reasonable and proportionate, realistic and measurable The individual agrees to provide and comply with any undertaking. 	 It is not accepted that misconduct took place The individual does not agree to provide and comply with any undertaking. Remorse is not expressed and no insight shown. Any undertaking will not prevent repeated misconduct and/ or will



to moderate their	•	Remorse has been	not protect consumers
practice.		expressed and insight	or the public interest.
		shown.	
Any undertaking will set out	•	Any undertaking is likely	
timescales within which any		to prevent repeated	
action should be taken.		misconduct and protect	
		both consumers and the	
		public interest	

Rebuke of authorised CILEX lawyer

Purpose	Factors in favour	Factors against
To sanction the individual for a breach of the SRA CILEX Code but where the issues are only of moderate seriousness and do not require a higher level of response to maintain standards/uphold public confidence.	 No lasting significant harm to consumers or third parties. Conduct or behaviour reckless as to risk of harm/regulatory obligations. Breach rectified/remedial action taken, but persisted longer than reasonable/ only when prompted. Low risk of repetition. Some public sanction required to uphold public confidence in the delivery of legal services. 	 Any less serious sanction/outcome would be appropriate to protect the public/public interest Where a more serious outcome is warranted to protect the public/public interest, eg: Dishonesty/lack of integrity/abuse of trust. Sexual misconduct/discrimination/ harassment. Evidence of repetition of conduct/behaviour in question, particularly if previously warned/advised to stop. Intentional failure to comply/cooperate with regulatory obligations.

Conditions on authorised CILEX lawyer

(The factors taken into consideration below relate to conditions imposed as a final sanction and not interim conditions)

Purpose	Factors in favour	Factors against
To control the risk of harm	Risk of serious harm or	Risk can be
arising from a repetition of a	breach in the absence of	managed/matters
breach of the SRA CILEX	conditions being	remediated or rectified
Code.	imposed.	without formal regulatory
	 Sufficient insight to 	intervention.
To restrict or prevent the	enable compliance with	
involvement of an individual	conditions.	Where a more serious
in certain activities or		outcome is warranted to



engaging in certain business agreements/associations or practising arrangements.

To require an individual to take certain steps.

To facilitate closer monitoring of an individual through regular reporting.

- Conduct/behaviour is likely to be repeated in the absence of control/support.
- Conditions available which address the risk of repetition/harm, and which are reasonable and proportionate, realistic and measurable.
- Evidence demonstrates person unsuitable for a particular role or activity which should be restricted.

protect the public/public interest, eg:

- Dishonesty/lack of integrity/abuse of trust.
- No conditions available which can manage the underlying conduct or behaviour.
- Previous history of failure to comply with regulatory obligations/evidence unable or willing to comply with conditions.
- Evidence unable/not competent to continue in legal practice at all.
- Continued practice, albeit restricted, would tend to damage public confidence in the delivery of legal services.
- Intentional failure to comply/cooperate with regulatory obligations.

Financial penalty for authorised CILEX lawyer

Purpose	Factors in favour	Factors against
To sanction the individual for a serious breach of the SRA CILEX Code but where protection of the public/public interest does not require suspension or removal of their membership and authorisation. To deter the individual and others from similar behaviour in future.	 Conduct/behaviour caused/had potential to cause significant harm. Direct control/responsibility for conduct/behaviour. Conduct planned/premeditated. Wilful or reckless disregard of risk of harm/regulatory obligations. Breach rectified/remedial action 	 Any less serious sanction/outcome would be appropriate to protect the public/public interest Evidence of insufficient means of the person directed to pay to pay Where there is evidence of sexual misconduct/discrimination/harassment Where a more serious outcome is warranted to



For the level of fine, see the indicative fining guidance published by the SRA from time to time.

- taken, but persisted longer than reasonable/ only when prompted.
- Fine appropriate to remove financial gain or other benefit as a consequence of the breach.

protect the public/public interest eg:

 Continued practice would tend to damage public confidence in the delivery of legal services.

Imposition of Order under Section 43 of the Solicitors Act 1974

Purpose	Factors in favour	Factors against
To impose such an order: Where a person who is or was involved in a solicitor's legal practice but is not a solicitor (a)has been convicted of a criminal offence which is such that in the opinion of the SRA it would be undesirable for the person to be involved in a legal practice in one or more of the ways mentioned in subsection (1A) of the Solicitors Act 1974, or (b) has, in the opinion of the SRA, occasioned or been a party to, with or without the connivance of a solicitor, an act or default in relation to a legal practice which involved conduct on their part of such a nature that in the opinion of the SRA it would be undesirable for them to be involved in a legal practice in one or more of the ways mentioned in subsection	 Where there has been a serious breach of the SRA CILEX Code and the seriousness of the misconduct is at the highest level, such that a lesser sanction is inappropriate. Conduct/behaviour caused/had potential to cause significant harm to consumers or third parties. Dishonesty/lack of integrity. Abuse of trust or exploitation of vulnerability. Misconduct involving the commission of a criminal offence. Direct control/responsibility for conduct/behaviour. Conduct planned/premeditated. Wilful or reckless disregard of risk of harm/regulatory obligations. Breach not rectified/no remedial action taken Evidence of sexual misconduct/discrimination/harassment. 	 Any less serious sanction/outcome would be appropriate to protect the public/public interest. Where undertakings or conditions could address concerns surrounding the individual working within legal practice Where undertakings or conditions are sufficient to protect the public or consumer interest and the individual is likely to or will comply.



(1A) of the	Solicitors Act
1974.	

the SRA may either make, or make an application to the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal for it to make, an order with respect to that person which will require that person to obtain the prior written permission of the SRA before they can be employed or remunerated in a firm regulated by the SRA.

- Misconduct which continued over a period of time or was repeated.
- Where undertakings or conditions cannot address concerns of work by the individual within legal practice.
- Where undertakings or conditions are insufficient to protect the public or consumers and the individual is unlikely or will not comply.
- No insight has been demonstrated and there is little to no evidence of remorse.
- No evidence of rehabilitation.

Exclusion from Membership and Authorisation

Purpose Factors in favour **Factors against** To protect the public/public Any less serious Where there has been the interest by preventing an imposition of an order sanction/outcome individual from practising would be under section 43 of the as an authorised CILEX appropriate to Solicitors Act 1974. protect the lawyer. The seriousness of the public/public misconduct is at the To sanction the individual interest. highest level, such that a for a serious breach of the lesser sanction is CILEX Code. inappropriate. To deter the individual and Conduct/behaviour others from similar caused/had potential to behaviour in future. cause significant harm to consumers or third To signpost conduct or parties. behaviour which is Dishonesty/lack of fundamentally incompatible integrity. with continued practice as Abuse of trust or an authorised CILEX exploitation of lawyer and to show the vulnerability. public the consequences Misconduct involving the for an authorised CILEX commission of a criminal lawyer who commits the offence. most serious misconduct.



In circumstances where conduct falls just short of exclusion, a suspension order can be made for a fixed term. The length of the suspension reflects the seriousness of the findings and the length of time needed for the authorised CILEX lawyer to remediate.

- Direct control/responsibility for conduct/behaviour.
- Conduct planned/premeditated.
- Wilful or reckless disregard of risk of harm/regulatory obligations.
- Breach not rectified/no remedial action taken.
- Evidence of sexual misconduct/discrimination/ harassment.
- Misconduct which continued over a period of time or was repeated.