

# Jayesh Anjaria Employee 7158708

This individual is also known as Jayesh Andaria

**Employee-related decision Date: 14 October 2024** 

# **Decision - Employee-related decision**

Outcome: Control of non-qualified staff (Section 43 / Section 99 order)

Outcome date: 14 October 2024

Published date: 1 November 2024

### Firm details

## Firm or organisation at time of matters giving rise to outcome

Name: Axiom Ince Limited

Address(es): 101 Wigmore Street, London, W1U 1FA

Firm ID: 628201

### **Outcome details**

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

#### **Decision details**

#### Who does this decision relate to?

Jayesh Anjaria whose last known address was Edgware, Greater London.

A person who is or was involved in a legal practice but is not a solicitor.

#### **Summary of decision**

The SRA has put restrictions on where and how Mr Anjaria can work in an SRA regulated firm. It was found that:

Mr Anjaria, who is not a solicitor, was involved in a legal practice and has occasioned or been a party to an act or default which involved such conduct on his part that it is undesirable for him to be involved in a legal practice in any of the ways described in the order below.



#### The facts of the case

On or around, and between 1 and 4 August 2023, during the course of a forensic investigation conducted by the SRA, Mr Anjaria altered bank statements of Barclays bank such that they contained false and misleading information when he knew, suspected or intended that the SRA and others who might read the bank statements that they would be misled.

#### **Decision on outcome**

An order pursuant to section 43(2) of the Solicitors Act 1974 was imposed as Mr Anjaria's conduct meant that it was undesirable for him to be involved in a legal practice without the SRA's prior approval. The order pursuant to section 43 was made with immediate effect from the date of the letter or email notifying Mr Anjaria of this decision.

Mr Anjaria's conduct was serious because he repeatedly falsified bank statements to show false and misleading information about the account holder, namely that he had more money in his bank account than he actually had. He did so while the SRA was investigating the firm of which the account holder was the sole shareholder. The firm was Axiom Ince Limited.

Mr Anjaria was also ordered to pay a proportion of the SRA's costs of £600.

#### What our Section 43 order means

- i. no solicitor shall employ or remunerate him in connection with his practice as a solicitor;
- ii. no employee of a solicitor shall employ or remunerate him in connection with the solicitor's practice;
- iii. no recognised body shall employ or remunerate him;
- iv. no manager or employee of a recognised body shall employ or remunerate him in connection with the business of that body;
- v. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit him to be a manager of the body; and
- vi. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit him to have an interest in the body

except in accordance with the SRA's prior written permission. Search again [https://www.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/]