

Simon Trees Solicitor 230898

Sanction Date: 28 July 2025

Decision - Sanction

Outcome: Rebuke

Outcome date: 28 July 2025

Published date: 30 July 2025

Firm details

Firm or organisation at date of publication

Name: Spencer West LLP

Address(es): Longbow House, 20 Chiswell Street, London, EC1Y 4TW,

England

Firm ID: 555456

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

Decision details

1. Agreed outcome

- 1.1 Mr Simon Anthony Trees, a solicitor, agrees to the following outcome to the investigation of his conduct by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA):
 - a. he is rebuked.
 - b. to the publication of this agreement.
 - c. he will pay the costs of the investigation of £300.

2. Summary of Facts

2.1 On 3 September 2023 Mr Trees was arrested by police after his vehicle had crashed into a parked vehicle and then driven off. When officers subsequently intercepted Mr Trees' vehicle, Mr Trees was found to be in the driving seat and taken into custody. While in police custody,

Mr Trees provided an evidential sample of breath, which registered 77 micrograms of alcohol per 100ml of breath, in excess of the legal limit.

- 2.2 On 4 September 2023 Mr Trees received a conviction for driving a motor vehicle after having consumed so much alcohol that the proportion of it in his breath exceeded the prescribed limit, contrary to section 5(1)(a) of the Road Traffic Act 1988 and Schedule 2 to the Road Traffic Offenders Act 1988, due to his conduct on 3 September 2023.
- 2.3 As a result of this conviction, the court required that Mr Trees:
 - a. pay a fine,
 - b. be subject to period of disqualification from driving of 40 months, and
 - c. receive a Community Order for 60 hours of unpaid work.
- 2.4 Following his release from custody, Mr Trees made a self-report of this conduct to the SRA on 5 September 2023.
- 2.5 Mr Trees had previously received a conviction for a similar offence in 2021, for which he received a financial penalty from the SRA.

3. Admissions

- 3.1 Mr Trees makes the following admissions which the SRA accepts:
 - a. that by virtue of his conduct and conviction, he failed to behave in a way which upholds public trust and confidence in the solicitors' profession and in legal services provided by authorised persons, in breach of Principle 2 of the SRA Principles.

4. Why a written rebuke is an appropriate outcome

- 4.1 The SRA's Enforcement Strategy sets out its approach to the use of its enforcement powers where there has been a failure to meet its standards or requirements.
- 4.2 When considering the appropriate sanctions and controls in this matter, the SRA has taken into account the admissions made by Mr Trees and the following factors in accordance with the SRA Enforcement Strategy:
 - a. Damage did occur to property during the relevant conduct, as a result of the collision with a parked vehicle, though this damage is believed to be relatively minor in nature.
 - b. While no harm was reported to have been suffered by any person as a result of the conduct, driving while over the prescribed limit is considered to be inherently reckless in nature, given the potential risk of harm to persons and property.

- c. Given Mr Trees' role as a solicitor and officer of the court, some public sanction is required to uphold public confidence in the profession.
- 4.3 With reference to the SRA's Topic Guide on convictions for driving with excess alcohol, the SRA considers that a written rebuke is the appropriate outcome because:
 - a. Mr Trees made a prompt report of the relevant conduct to the SRA and his former employer on 5 September 2023.
 - b. Mr Trees has co-operated with our investigation of this matter and has demonstrated insight and remorse in his communications with the SRA.
 - c. There is evidence that harm to property was caused as a result of Mr Trees conduct, which is considered to be an aggravating factor.
 - d. Mr Trees has previously received a conviction for a similar offence in 2021, for which he received a financial penalty from the SRA, which is considered to be an aggravating factor.
 - e. As a result of his conviction, in addition to a fine and a period of disqualification, Mr Trees received a Community Order for 60 hours of unpaid work, which is considered to be an aggravating factor.
 - f. Due to the presence of aggravating factors, a sanction is required, however the aggravating factors are not so serious, nor are there exceptional circumstances, such that an alternative sanction would be appropriate.

5. Publication

5.1 The SRA considers it appropriate that this agreement is published in the interests of transparency in the regulatory and disciplinary process. Mr Trees agrees to the publication of this agreement.

6. Acting in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement

- 6.1 Mr Trees agrees that he will not deny the admissions made in this agreement or act in any way which is inconsistent with it.
- 6.2 If Mr Trees denies the admissions or acts in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement, the conduct which is subject to this agreement may be considered further by the SRA. That may result in a disciplinary outcome or a referral to the Solicitors Disciplinary Tribunal on the original facts and allegations.
- 6.3 Denying the admissions made or acting in a way which is inconsistent with this agreement may also constitute a separate breach of principles 2 and 5 of the Principles and paragraph 7.3 of the Code of Conduct for Solicitors, RELs and RFLs.

7. Costs



7.1 Mr Trees agrees to pay the costs of the SRA's investigation in the sum of £300. Such costs are due within 28 days of a statement of costs due being issued by the SRA.

Search again [https://www.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/]