



Aishah Khan

Employee

646597

Employee-related decision Date: 5 April 2019

Decision - Employee-related decision

Outcome: Control of non-qualified staff (Section 43 / Section 99 order)

Outcome date: 5 April 2019

Published date: 13 May 2019

Firm details

Firm or organisation at time of matters giving rise to outcome

Name: Judge & Priestley LLP

Address(es): Justin House, 6 West Street, Bromley, Kent, BR1 1JN

Firm ID: 420714

Outcome details

This outcome was reached by SRA decision.

Decision details

Disciplinary Decision For Publication

Reasons/basis

Aishah Khan of Croydon, Surrey was found to have:

- Misled counsel on 21 December 2017 when she implied evidence had been sent by her to Telford County Court when it had not.
- Amended a letter addressed to Crewe County Court on two occasions.
- Failed to file a witness statement.
- Prepared a chronology for the firm's partner that was deliberately misleading or inaccurate.
- Deliberately misled the firm's partner regarding the receipt of a witness statement.
- Told a client she had sent him a letter on 6 June 2018 when she had not.

Her conduct was found to have breached Principles 2, 4, 5 and 6, she failed to achieve Outcome 5.1 of the SRA Code of Conduct. She was found to be

dishonest.

Miss Khan resigned from Judge & Priestley LLP on 5 July 2018. She is not currently working for an SRA regulated practice.

An order was made pursuant to section 43(2) of the Solicitors Act 1974 in relation to Miss Khan. She was also given a written rebuke and ordered to pay the SRA's costs of £600 in investigating the matter.

Other information

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE MATTER OF: Aishah Khan of Croydon, Surrey.

A person who is or was involved in a legal practice but is not a solicitor

SECTION 43 SOLICITORS ACT 1974 (AS AMENDED)

FINDING

Miss Khan was involved in a legal practice (as defined by section 43(1A) of the Solicitors Act 1974) but not as a solicitor and has:

- a. Occasioned or been a party to an act or default which involved such conduct on her part that it would be undesirable for her to be involved in a legal practice in any of the ways described in the order below.

ORDER

To make an order pursuant to section 43 that with effect from the date of the letter or email notifying Miss Khan of Croydon, Surrey of this decision:

- i. no solicitor shall employ or remunerate her in connection with his/her practice as a solicitor;
- ii. no employee of a solicitor shall employ or remunerate her in connection with the solicitor's practice;
- iii. no recognised body shall employ or remunerate her;
- iv. no manager or employee of a recognised body shall employ or remunerate her in connection with the business of that body;
- v. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit her to be a manager of the body; and
- vi. no recognised body or manager or employee of such a body shall permit her to have an interest in the body

except in accordance with a Society permission.

Search again [<https://www.sra.org.uk/consumers/solicitor-check/>]